arXiv:1303.1195v1 [math.SG] 5 Mar 2013

The gap between near commutativity and almost commutativity in symplectic category

Lev Buhovsky[1]

March 7, 2013

Abstract

On any symplectic manifold of dimension greater than 2, we construct a pair of smooth functions, such that on the one hand, the uniform norm of their Poisson bracket equals to 1, but on the other hand, this pair cannot be reasonably approximated (in the uniform norm) by a pair of Poisson commuting smooth functions. This comes in contrast with the dimension 2 case, where by a partial case of a result of Zapolsky [Z-2], an opposite statement holds.

1 Introduction and results

During the last ten years, function theory on symplectic manifolds has attracted a great deal of attention [B, BEP, CV, EP-1, EP-2, EPZ, EPR, P, Z-1, Z-2]. The C^0-rigidity of the Poisson bracket [EP-2] (cf. [B]) is one of the achievements of this theory, and it states that on a closed symplectic manifold (M, ω), the uniform norm of the Poisson bracket of a pair of smooth functions on M is a lower semi-continuous functional, when we consider the uniform (or the C^0) topology on $C^\infty(M) \times C^\infty(M)$. Informally speaking, this means that one cannot significantly reduce the C^0 norm of the Poisson bracket of two smooth functions by an arbitrarily small C^0 perturbation. The C^0-rigidity of the Poisson bracket holds also when the symplectic manifold (M, ω) is open, if we restrict to compactly supported smooth functions. In this context it is natural to ask, how strongly one should perturb a given pair of smooth functions in order to significantly reduce the C^0 norm of their Poisson bracket. The following question was asked privately by Polterovich in 2009 (later it also appeared in [Z-2]):

Question 1.1. *Let (M, ω) be a closed symplectic manifold. Does there exist a constant $C > 0$, such that for any pair of smooth functions $f, g : M \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\|\{f, g\}\| =$*

---

[1] The author also uses the spelling “Buhovski” for his family name.

1, there exists a pair of smooth functions $F, G : M \to \mathbb{R}$, such that $\|F-f\|, \|G-g\| \leqslant C$ and such that $\{F, G\} = 0$ on M?

The 2-dimensional case of this question was answered affirmatively by Zapolsky, and in fact, it appeared as a particular case of a more general statement which applies to functions on a manifold with a volume form [Z-2].

The main result of this note is the following

Theorem 1.2. *Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold of dimension $2n > 2$. Then for any $l, \delta > 0$, there exists a pair of smooth compactly supported functions $f, g : M \to \mathbb{R}$, such that $\|f\| = \|g\| = l$, $\|\{f, g\}\| = 1$, and such that for any $t > 0$ we have*

$$(1-\delta)\left(\max\left(1-\frac{2t}{l}, 0\right)\right)^2 \leqslant \inf_{\|F-f\|, \|G-g\| \leqslant t} \|\{F, G\}\| \leqslant \left(\max\left(1-\frac{2t}{l}, 0\right)\right)^2 \quad (1.1)$$

(Here $F, G : M \to \mathbb{R}$ are smooth compactly supported functions).

By choosing l, δ in Theorem 1.2, such that $0 < \delta < 1$, and such that l is large enough, we conclude

Corollary 1.3. *The answer to Question 1.1 is negative in dimension > 2.*

The proof of Theorem 1.2 relies on a certain computation of the pb_4 invariant [BEP].

Remark 1.4. *In Theorem 1.2 the function $\phi(t) = \inf_{\|F-f\|, \|G-g\| \leqslant t} \|\{F, G\}\|$ is well approximated on the interval $\left[0, \frac{l}{2}\right]$ by a quadratic polynomial. Let us notice that in fact, the function $\|\{f, g\}\| - \phi(t) = 1 - \phi(t)$ generically has growth rate $t^{2/3}$ near $t = 0$. See [B, EP-3] for more details.*

Remark 1.5. *Question 1.1 has an analogue in the setting of Hermitian matrices equipped with a commutator (see [PS, H]).*

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Leonid Polterovich for constant encouragement, interest in this work, and useful comments.

2 Proofs

Let us first remind the definition of the pb_4 invariant, which was initially introduced in [BEP], and which participates in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Definition 2.1. *Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold. Let $X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1 \subset M$ be a quadruple of compact sets. Then we define*

$$pb_4(X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1) = \inf \|\{F, G\}\|,$$

where the infimum is taken over the set of all pairs of smooth compactly supported functions $F, G : M \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $F \leqslant 0$ on X_0, $F \geqslant 1$ on X_1, $G \leqslant 0$ on Y_0, and $G \geqslant 1$ on Y_1. Note that such set of pairs of functions is non-empty whenever $X_0 \cap X_1 = Y_0 \cap Y_1 = \emptyset$. If the latter condition is violated, we put

$$pb_4(X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1) = +\infty.$$

The following result was proved in [BEP] (in [BEP] this is Proposition 1.21):

Proposition 2.2. *Let (M, ω) be a symplectic surface of area $B \in (0, +\infty]$. Consider a curvilinear quadrilateral $\Pi \subset M$ of area A with sides denoted in the cyclic order by a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 - that is Π is a topological disc bounded by the union of four smooth embedded curves a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 connecting four distinct points in M in the cyclic order as listed here and (transversally) intersecting each other only at their common end-points. Let L be an exact section of T^*S^1. Assume that $M \neq S^2$ and that $2A \leqslant B$. Then in the symplectic manifold $M \times T^*S^1$ (with the split symplectic form) we have*

$$pb_4(a_1 \times L, a_3 \times L, a_2 \times L, a_4 \times L) = 1/A > 0.$$

The proof of Proposition 2.2, which is presented in [BEP], is divided into two parts. The first part proves the inequality $pb_4(a_1 \times L, a_3 \times L, a_2 \times L, a_4 \times L) \leqslant 1/A$ by providing a concrete example of a pair of functions F, G as in Definition 2.1. The second part proves the inequality $pb_4(a_1 \times L, a_3 \times L, a_2 \times L, a_4 \times L) \geqslant 1/A$, and it uses the Gromov's theory of pseudo-holomorphic curves.

Now we turn to the proofs of our results.

Lemma 2.3. *Consider $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ endowed with the standard symplectic structure ω_{std}. Then given any open set $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and any $A > 0$, there exists a smooth symplectic embedding $(B^2(A), \sigma_{std}) \to (U, \omega_{std})$, where $B^2(A) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is the disc of area A centred at the origin, and σ_{std} is the standard area form on $\mathbb{R}^2$ (and on $B^2(A)$).*

Proof. It is enough to construct a smooth embedding of $B^2(\pi)$ into $B^{2n}(2\pi)$ (where $B^{2n}(2\pi)$ is the $2n$-dimensional ball of capacity 2π, or equivalently, radius $\sqrt{2}$, centred at the origin), such that the image is a symplectic curve having arbitrarily large symplectic area. An example of such an embedding is the map $u : B^2(\pi) \to \mathbb{C}^n$ given by $u(z) = (z^k, z, 0, 0, ..., 0)$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is large enough. $\square$

Lemma 2.4. *Assume that we have a smooth curvilinear quadrilateral of area A on the plane $\mathbb{R}^2$, with sides a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 (written in the cyclic order), and let $L \subset T^*\mathbb{T}^{n-1}$ be an exact section. Then in the symplectic manifold $\mathbb{R}^2 \times T^*\mathbb{T}^{n-1}$ (with the split symplectic form) we have*

$$pb_4(a_1 \times L, a_3 \times L, a_2 \times L, a_4 \times L) = 1/A > 0.$$

Proof. The proof goes similarly as the proof of Proposition 2.2 (which is Proposition 1.21 in [BEP]). ☐

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Consider a Darboux neighbourhood $U \subset M$. Choose $\delta' > 0$ small enough. By Lemma 2.3, there exists a symplectic embedding $u : (B^2(2l^2 + 3\delta'), \sigma_{std}) \to (U, \omega)$. Since $u(B^2(2l^2 + 3\delta'))$ is a (contractible) symplectic disc, then it follows that it's neighbourhood in M is standard, and hence there exists $\epsilon > 0$, and a symplectic embedding $\phi : (W = B^2(2l^2 + 2\delta') \times B^2(\epsilon)^{\times(n-1)}, \omega_{std}) \to (M, \omega)$, where $B^2(2l^2 + 2\delta') \times B^2(\epsilon)^{\times(n-1)} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is the product of a disc of area $2l^2 + 2\delta'$ and $n - 1$ copies of a disc of area ϵ. Choose a curvilinear quadrilateral inside $B^2(2l^2 + 2\delta')$ with sides a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 (which are given in cyclic order), and area $l^2 + \delta'$. Then one can find a pair of smooth compactly supported functions $\tilde{f}_1, \tilde{g}_1 : B^2(2l^2 + 2\delta') \to \mathbb{R}$, such that $\|\{\tilde{f}_1, \tilde{g}_1\}\| = 1$, such that $\tilde{f}_1 = 0$ on a_1, $\tilde{f}_1 = l$ on a_3, $\tilde{g}_1 = 0$ on a_2, $\tilde{g}_1 = l$ on a_4, such that $\tilde{f}_1, \tilde{g}_1 \geqslant 0$ on $B^2(2l^2 + 2\delta')$ and such that $\|f_1\| = \|g_1\| = l$ (construction of such f_1, g_1 is basically given in the proof of Theorem 1.20 in [BEP]). Choose $0 < \rho_1 < \rho < \rho_2$ such that $\pi\rho_2^2 < \epsilon$, and choose a smooth function $\tilde{h}_1 : B^2(\epsilon) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that its support lies inside the annulus $D^2_{\rho_1,\rho_2} = \{z \in B^2(\epsilon) \mid \rho_1 < |z| < \rho_2\}$, such that $0 \leqslant \tilde{h}_1 \leqslant 1$ on $B^2(\epsilon)$, and such that $\tilde{h}_1 = 1$ on $S^1_\rho = \{z \in B^2(\epsilon) \mid |z| = \rho\}$. Now define $f_1, g_1 : W \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f_1(z, w_1, ..., w_{n-1}) = \tilde{f}_1(z)\tilde{h}_1(w_1)...\tilde{h}_1(w_{n-1}),$$

$$g_1(z, w_1, ..., w_{n-1}) = \tilde{g}_1(z)\tilde{h}_1(w_1)...\tilde{h}_1(w_{n-1}),$$

for $(z, w_1, ..., w_{n-1}) \in W$, and then define $f, g : M \to \mathbb{R}$ by setting $f = g = 0$ on $M \setminus \phi(W)$ and setting $f(\phi(x)) = f_1(x)$, $g(\phi(x)) = g_1(x)$, for any $x \in W$. First note that $f_1 = 0$ on $a_1 \times (S^1_\rho)^{n-1}$, $f_1 = l$ on $a_3 \times (S^1_\rho)^{n-1}$, $g_1 = 0$ on $a_2 \times (S^1_\rho)^{n-1}$, and $g_1 = l$ on $a_4 \times (S^1_\rho)^{n-1}$. Secondly, we have

$$\{f, g\}(\phi(z, w_1, ..., w_{n-1})) = \{\tilde{f}_1, \tilde{g}_1\}(z)\tilde{h}_1^2(w_1)...\tilde{h}_1^2(w_{n-1})$$

for any $(z, w_1, ..., w_{n-1}) \in W$, and hence $\|\{f, g\}\| = 1$. Also we have that $f, g \geqslant 0$ on M, and that $\|f\| = \|g\| = l$. Let us show that the constructed functions f, g satisfy (1.1), provided that δ' is small enough.

Let $t > 0$, as in the theorem. Then in case of $t \geqslant \frac{l}{2}$ we have

$$\inf_{\|F-f\|, \|G-g\| \leqslant t} \|\{F, G\}\| = 0,$$

since we can take $F = G = \frac{f+g}{2}$. Now assume that $t \in \left(0, \frac{l}{2}\right)$. Then the upper bound in (1.1) follows from considering $F = \left(1 - \frac{t}{l}\right) f + \frac{t}{l} g$ and $G = \frac{t}{l} f + \left(1 - \frac{t}{l}\right) g$. Let us show the lower bound. Let $F, G : M \to \mathbb{R}$ be smooth compactly supported functions such that $\|F - f\|, \|G - g\| \leqslant t$. Choose a smooth function $w : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $w(s) = 0$ for $s \in [-t, t]$, such that $w(s) = l$ for $s \in [l - t, l + t]$, and such that $|w'(s)| \leqslant (1 + \delta') \frac{l}{l-2t}$ for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the functions $F' = w \circ F$, $G' = w \circ G$ satisfy $\|\{F', G'\}\| \leqslant (1 + \delta')^2 \frac{l^2}{(l-2t)^2} \|\{F, G\}\|$. But moreover, we have that $F'(x) = 0$ whenever $f(x) = 0$, $F'(x) = l$ whenever $f(x) = l$, $G'(x) = 0$ whenever $g(x) = 0$, and $G'(x) = l$ whenever $g(x) = l$, for any $x \in M$. Hence it follows that the supports of $\phi^* F'$, $\phi^* G'$ lie inside the domain $W' = B^2(2l^2 + 2\delta') \times (D^2_{\rho_1, \rho_2})^{\times(n-1)}$, and we have that $F' = 0$ on $\phi(a_1 \times (S^1_\rho)^{n-1})$, $F' = l$ on $\phi(a_3 \times (S^1_\rho)^{n-1})$, $G' = 0$ on $\phi(a_2 \times (S^1_\rho)^{n-1})$, and $G' = l$ on $\phi(a_4 \times (S^1_\rho)^{n-1})$. We can find a symplectic embedding ψ of W' into $\mathbb{R}^2 \times (T^* S^1)^{\times(n-1)} = \mathbb{R}^2 \times T^* \mathbb{T}^{n-1}$ (where $\mathbb{T}^{n-1} = (S^1)^{n-1}$ is the $(n-1)$-dimensional torus), given as a product of maps, such that at the factor $B^2(2l^2 + 2\delta')$ we have the standard embedding to $\mathbb{R}^2$ (given by the identity map), and such that at each factor $D^2_{\rho_1, \rho_2}$, the circle S^1_ρ is mapped to the zero section of $T^* S^1$. Denote the push-forwards $F_2 = \psi_* \phi^* F' = F' \circ \phi \circ \psi^{-1}$, $G_2 = \psi_* \phi^* G' = G' \circ \phi \circ \psi^{-1}$, $f_2 = \psi_* f_1 = f_1 \circ \psi^{-1}$, $g_2 = \psi_* g_1 = g_1 \circ \psi^{-1}$, which are a priori defined on $\psi(W')$, and extend them by 0 to obtain functions on the whole $\mathbb{R}^2 \times T^* \mathbb{T}^{n-1}$. We have $F_2 = 0$ on $a_1 \times L_0$, $F_2 = l$ on $a_3 \times L_0$, $G_2 = 0$ on $a_2 \times L_0$, and $G_2 = l$ on $a_4 \times L_0$, where $L_0 \subset T^* \mathbb{T}^{n-1}$ is the zero section. Therefore in view of Lemma 2.4, we get

$$(1 + \delta')^2 \left(\frac{l}{l - 2t}\right)^2 \|\{F, G\}\| \geqslant \|\{F', G'\}\| = \|\{F_2, G_2\}\|$$

$$\geqslant l^2 pb_4(a_1 \times L_0, a_3 \times L_0, a_2 \times L_0, a_4 \times L_0) = \frac{l^2}{l^2 + \delta'},$$

and hence

$$\|\{F, G\}\| \geqslant \frac{l^2}{(1 + \delta')^2 (l^2 + \delta')} \left(1 - \frac{2t}{l}\right)^2.$$

Since for δ' small enough we have $\frac{l^2}{(1+\delta')^2(l^2+\delta')} > 1 - \delta$, we conclude the left hand-side inequality in (1.1). $\square$

References

[B] L. Buhovsky, *The 2/3-convergence rate for the Poisson bracket*, Geom. and Funct. Analysis **19** (2010), 1620–1649.

[BEP] L. Buhovsky, M. Entov, L. Polterovich, *Poisson brackets and symplectic invariants*, Selecta Mathematica **18**:1 (2012), 89–157.

[CV] F. Cardin, C. Viterbo, *Commuting Hamiltonians and Hamilton-Jacobi multi-time equations*, Duke Math. J. **144** (2008), 235–284.

[EP-1] M. Entov, L. Polterovich, *Quasi-states and symplectic intersections*, Comm. Math. Helv. **81** (2006), 75–99.

[EP-2] M. Entov, L. Polterovich, C^0*-rigidity of Poisson brackets*, in *Proceedings of the Joint Summer Research Conference on Symplectic Topology and Measure-Preserving Dynamical Systems (eds. A. Fathi, Y.-G. Oh and C. Viterbo), 25–32*, Contemporary Mathematics **512**, AMS, Providence RI, 2010.

[EP-3] M. Entov, L. Polterovich, C^0*-rigidity of the double Poisson bracket*, Int. Math. Res. Notices. 2009; 2009:1134–1158.

[EPZ] M. Entov, L. Polterovich, F. Zapolsky, *Quasi-morphisms and the Poisson bracket*, Pure and Applied Math. Quarterly **3** (2007), 1037–1055.

[EPR] M. Entov, L. Polterovich, D. Rosen, *Poisson brackets, quasi-states and symplectic integrators*, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems **28** (2010), no. 4, 1455–1468.

[PS] C. Pearcy, A. Shields, *Almost commuting matrices*, J. Funct. Anal. **33** (1979), 332–338.

[H] M. B. Hastings, *Making almost commuting matrices commute*, Comm. Math. Phys. **291** (2009), no. 2, 321–345.

[P] L. Polterovich, *Symplectic geometry of quantum noise*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1206.3707

[Z-1] F. Zapolsky, *Quasi-states and the Poisson bracket on surfaces*, J. Mod. Dyn. **1** (2007), 465–475.

[Z-2] F. Zapolsky, *On almost Poisson commutativity in dimension two*, Electron. Res. Announc. Math. Sci. **17** (2010), 155–160.

Lev Buhovski
School of Mathematical Sciences, Tel Aviv University
e-mail: levbuh@post.tau.ac.il